FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.351 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.5336 OF 1989

Office notes, office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Ms. Teja Katdare for the applicants.

Mr. Vilas Jadhav for respondent 1(M).

None for other respondents.

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

DATED: 18TH AUGUST, 2005.

P.C.:-

This writ petition was dismissed for default on 30/7/2004. In this application, it is prayed that the writ petition be restored. I have heard Ms. Katdare, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Jadhav, the learned counsel for respondent 1(M) - Dattatraya Shrikrishna Joshi. The other respondents have not been served. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that she is not pressing the writ petition against the

other respondents.

In view of this, for the reasons stated in the civil application, writ petition is restored to the file. During the pendency of the writ petition, respondent 1(M) shall not dispossess the petitioner. This interim order is obviously passed without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties.

Civil application is disposed of.

Filing of affidavit is dispensed with.

(SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.)